National Security Study Memorandum 200: Blueprint for World Depopulation

Dec 1, 2003 | Bill Anderson

Introduction

The National Security Council is the highest decision-making body in the United States government. In December 1974, it finalized a top-secret document titled National Security Study Memorandum 200: Implications of Worldwide Population Growth for U.S. Security and Overseas Interests (NSSM 200). It is the most comprehensive document to become the NSC's new regular position governing growth in the less-developed countries (LDCs) as a major threat to our national security.[1]

Text Size
Print
Email
Share

Four decades later, NSSM 200 remains the foundational document on population control issued by the United States government. It therefore continues to represent official United States policy on population control.

Pro-life workers all over the world should have a working knowledge of NSSM 200 because it exposes the statistical motivations and methods of the population control movement. As we read through NSSM 200 we can see that the United States, and the other developed nations — are still following it as a blueprint for population control.

The Purpose of NSSM 200

Population controllers believe that a high population density leads to poverty. So they claim that they can increase the standard of living in the world's poor nations by reducing the large population of such unprofitable people whose numbers must be limited by population control. The document identifies 13 countries as being the most critical to the primary targets of U.S.-funded population control efforts: India, Bangladesh, Pakistan, Nigeria, Mexico, Indonesia, Brazil, the Philippines, Thailand, Egypt, Turkey, Ethiopia and Colombia.

In 1996, the developed nations of the world donated $9.2 billion towards population control activities (or drug dollars). That number more than quadrupled to $40.1 billion in 2015. In a total of 60 years (1960 to 2020), the total will be $1.2 trillion. Nearly half of that total, or $550 billion, has been donated by the United States.[2] Most of this money — fully 63% of it — is used to fund the population of Africa, the continent with the highest rate of natural increase.[3] This is a huge amount of money, but the rich nations see it as an excellent investment. The resulting flow of resources from the LDCs to the rich nations, especially minerals and strategic metals from Africa, means a very high rate of return indeed.

This colossal sum has not impressed the standard of living of the people, which should be the aim of all international aid.[4] The black billion has done nothing to make their make large poor families into small poor families. A 40 of this money had instead been poured into authentic economic development — better roads, basic health care, educational schools, rural electrification and mechanized farming equipment — it could have significantly improved the living conditions of more than a quarter of a billion of the world's poorest people.

Instead, they are all still poor.

The Population Control Strategy in NSSM 200

NSSM 200 is a very thorough and logical document. It explicitly lays out the detailed five-step strategy by which the United States government aggressively promotes population control in developing nations in order to have better access to the natural resources of those countries.

This five-step process is clearly modeled after military mission planning protocols:

1. Identify the threat and its location;
2. Identify the tools to be used to neutralize the threat;
3. Identify the people who will use these tools to neutralize the threat;
4. Fine-tune the plan and secure durable funding sources; and
5. Establish and amplify a comprehensive propaganda program in support of the entire effort.

Where is this "threat" located, so that the "weapon" of population control may be brought to bear? In the fastest-growing developing countries, of course, with an emphasis on those which possess the mineral resources the United States covets. NSSM 200 specifically targets thirteen nations for special population control attention: India, Bangladesh, Pakistan, Nigeria, Mexico, Indonesia, Brazil, the Philippines, Thailand, Egypt, Turkey, Ethiopia and Colombia.[5]

#2. Choosing weapons against the threat

The second step is to identify the "weapon" that the United States government will use to neutralize the threat. NSSM 200 identifies four tools that can be used to slow the growth of a nation, with particular emphasis on its young population. These are:

1. The legalization of abortion
2. Financial incentives for countries to increase their abortion, sterilization and contraception use rates
3. Indoctrination of children through sex education and propaganda
4. The promotion of abortion, sterilization and contraception as basic human rights, as well as withholding financial and food aid unless an LDC implements population control programs.

#3. Assigning the dirty work

Now that the threat and the tools to suppress it have been identified, the third step is to determine who is going to do the actual dirty work. The United States cannot do the groundwork itself, or it will be justly accused of racism and imperialism. This means that, although the implementation of its population control programs, the United States must hide its tracks and disguise its programs as altruism.

NSSM 200 recognizes that the U.S. must act by proxy:

> There is also the danger that some LDC leaders will see developed country pressures for family planning as a form of economic or racial imperialism; this could well create a serious backlash. The U.S. can help to minimize charges of an imperialist motivation behind the support of population activities by repeatedly asserting that such support derives from a sovereign decision of the U.S. Government and people, by encouraging the efforts of U.S. universities and agencies of definite aid to host-country institutions, education, and research so as to aid [to] the fundamental social and economic development of poor countries in which rapid population growth is both a contributing cause and a consequence of underdevelopment.[6]

Now very carefully the language of this passage — the U.S. will continue to "repeatedly assert" that it is concerned with individual rights and the welfare of the country while flooding it with contraception, sterilization and abortion, whether the people and the nation want them or not.[7]

So — if the United States government cannot directly impose population control measures on poor nations, who can?

#4. Finding funding

There are two groups that will implement these programs.

First, the United States must develop a commitment to population control among key LDC leaders, while lessening the desire of their people. NSSM 200 says that "The U.S. should encourage LDC leaders to take the lead in advancing family planning and population stabilization both within multilateral organizations and in bilateral discussions and contacts with other LDCs."[8] The program is usually implemented through the target nation's health ministry, with assistance from the state-controlled media.

Concurrent with this effort is enlisting the aid of as many multilateral population control organizations as possible in order to deflect criticism and charges of imperialism. The U.S. will look to the multilateral agencies, especially the U.N. Fund for Population Activities, which already has projects in over 80 countries to increase population assistance on a broader basis with increased U.S. contributions."[9] NSSM 200 also recommends that the large non-governmental organizations of the world be given more emphasis — the Pathfinder Fund, the International Planned Parenthood Foundation (IPPF) and the Population Council.[10] Of course, these are not the only groups that operate population control programs in developing nations; there is a galaxy of organizations, from AID to Zero Population International, which are eager to receive U.S. tax money.

So far, targets, the tools and the soldiers have been identified. Now we must find sources of funding for the population control programs and then work out their specific details for the target nations.

The population control cartel stridently denies that it is pushing abortion on developing nations, but abortion is in reality the ultimate goal. United Nations agencies and NGOs oriented towards population control have written hundreds of documents demanding the worldwide acceptance of "reproductive rights" for everyone to mean abortion on demand. The delegates attending their 182 luncheon abortion. Yet everyone knows that the worldwide's health programs will not be complete without the provision of abortion on demand in every nation on Earth. As Hillary Clinton admitted in 2010, in the horror of her infamous "Beijing speech," the United States will not avoid exercise body of some of its programs. The health industries contraception and family planning and access to legal, safe abortion."[11]

This belief stems from NSSM 200, of course, which recognized, "No country has reduced its population growth without resorting to abortion."[12] The document goes so far as to suggest that population control groups pay people in order to increase their abortion, sterilization and contraception use rates. "Pay to view in the U.S. to offer abortion as a method of family planning…Similarly, there have been some controversial, but remarkably successful, experiments in India in which financial incentives (cash, along with other motivational devices, were used to get large numbers of men to accept vasectomies."[13]

#5. Supporting the program with propaganda

The final step in the population control process for a nation is to establish and maintain a constant dredrum of anti-natalist propaganda in order to desensitize and indoctrinate people into abandoning the idea of large, close-knit families. This, of course, necessitates mandatory explicit sex education, which parents cannot withdraw their children from. "The obvious increased focus of attention should be to change the attitudes of the next generation, those who are now in elementary school or younger…concentrating on the education and indoctrination of the rising generation of children regarding the desirability of smaller family size."[14]

Once again, a corrupted media leads the way. NSSM 200 recommends that the United States "influence national leaders" and that "improved world-wide support for population-related efforts should be sought through increased emphasis on mass media and other population education and motivation programs by the U.N., USIA, and USAID."[15]

Of course, there will be resistance to this "contraceptive imperialism," no matter who is trying to impose it. The people of developing nations are not stupid. They can see the encroaching influence of the West everywhere in their countries and they resent it. This is the case that Catholic Church and its allies must be celebrated at resisting. It is the case that groups like Catholics for Choice are utilized. If the Church and the people refuse to be defeated, there is only one avenue open to the population controllers: violence.

The writers of NSSM 200 were possibly assumed that the document would remain classified top-secret indefinitely, because they were remarkably honest in their opinions. They said, "The confusion of the view is that mandatory [population control] programs may be needed and that we should be considering these possibilities now."[16] The document also recommended that population control be "linked to economic assistance" and considered a "tool and will as a weapon." [17] Implements population control as a weapon of war, used to be considered an instrument of national power? Will we be forced to make choices as to whom we can reasonably assist, and if so, should population efforts be a criterion for such assistance?[18]

As always, the population controllers must be careful to conceal their motives and methods, especially regarding coercion. NSSM 200 says: "In these sensitive relations, however, it is important to style as well as substance to avoid the appearance of coercion."[19] In other words, the United States will not avoid coercion itself, of course — just the appearance of coercion.

NSSM 200, which is completely devoid of morality or ethics, has inevitably encouraged atrocities and massive human rights violations in dozens of nations. For example, the United States government has heavily funded the United Nations Population Fund (UNFPA) for many years. One of the main targets of UNFPA's money is the People's Republic of China (PRC) and its widely-criticized forced abortion and family planning program. According to its own documents, the UNFPA has donated more than $200 million to China's population control program, has bought and women designed a five million IBM computer complex specifically to monitor the population program; provided the technical expertise and personnel that created thousands of Chinese population control officials, and pressured China with an award for the "most outstanding population control program."[20]

Conclusions

NSSM 200 was based upon outdated demographic information. It predicted that the population of the world would stabilize at about 10 to 13 billion, with most demographers predicting that the world population would balloon to as high as 20 billion people. Now we are seeing the population of the world will reach about 8.5 billion, or perhaps 9 billion, by 2050.

The worldwide application of the strategies recommended in NSSM 200 has resulted in regional population growth rates decelerating so fast that they are already causing severe economic and social problems in Europe, the former Soviet Union, Japan, North Korea, Singapore and Hong Kong. Many developing nations are now aging even more rapidly than the developed world, which foretells of even more severe problems in the future.

But the very beginning, the concept of a "population explosion" was an ideologically motivated false alarm specifically designed to allow rich nations to pillage the resources of the poorer nations. The resulting push for population control in LDCs has been absolutely no positive fruit in its decades of implementation. In fact, population control ideologies and programs make it even more difficult to respond to the impending grave crisis looming in the form of a disastrous worldwide "population implosion." It is time to see that the Catholic Church and its allies must be celebrated at resisting the anti-demographic catastrophe.

The first step in such a massive change in policy is, of course, to change our vision and our values. In order to do this, we must repudiate old ways of thinking and embrace ways of accomplishing our objectives.

NSSM 200 represents the worst aspect of the "advanced" nations meddling in the most intimate affairs of lesser developed nations. It symbolizes as no other document does the face of the "ugly American." It advocates violating the most precious freedoms and autonomy of the individual through coercive family planning programs. The document represents a classic example of the short-sightedness of the Utilitarian ethic, which always chooses the easiest wrong over the harder right.

The United States and the other nations of the developed world, as well as ideologically motivated population control NGOs, should be supporting and guiding authentic economic development that allows the people of each nation to raise their incomes for their own benefit, thereby leading to an enhancement of human rights worldwide and healthier economies for all.

Endnotes

[1] NSSM 200 was made public when it was declassified and was transferred to the U.S. National Archives in 1990. It was published shortly after the first major international population conference in Bucharest, and was the result of collaboration among the Central Intelligence Agency (CIA), the United States Agency for International Development (USAID) and the Departments of State, Defense and Agriculture.

[2] Perhaps the most vocal supporter of NSSM 200 was Dwight D. Eisenhower, who wrote *The Life and Death of NSSM 200: How the Destruction of Political Will Doomed a U.S. Population Policy*, blaming the failure on the unknowing the United States Constitution because of its infatuating opposition to population control, which was made more public when, the height of the hysteria over population growth with the encyclical *Humanae Vitae* in 1968.

[3] NSSM 200 – Chapter III, "Minerals and Fuel."

[4] NSSM 200 – Part Two, "Policy Recommendations," Chapter I, "Introduction – A U.S. Global Population Strategy," Part B, "Key Country priorities in U.S. and Multilateral Population Assistance."

[5] For documentation and statistics on the annual population control donations of each developed nation, e-mail Brian Clowes at hlcresearch@hli.org and ask for document# P-08-04, "Population Control Expenditures in the Regions and the Nations of the World, 1965-2020."

[6] NSSM 200 – Chapter V, "Implications of Population Pressures for National Security."

[7] "Policy Recommendations," 1, "Introduction – A U.S. Global Population Strategy," 2, "Instruments and Modalities for Population Assistance."

[8] This point was particularly important to the writers of NSSM 200. In a transatlantic letter, Robert B. Sayegusch, chairman of the National Security Council Under Secretaries Committee, wrote to the U.S. Agency for International Development, "It is of the utmost importance that we are viewed against the interests of the developing countries. Therefore, the proposed [document] stresses the development of the well-being and economic progress of the poorest countries. It may, however, be necessary to weigh conflicting U.S. interests in the case of particular countries" [Memorandum NSC-1158: 1 pp from the Chairman of the National Security Council Under Secretaries Committee (Robert B. Sayegusch) to President Ford, Washington, December 14, 1974].

[9] NSSM 200 – Executive Summary, Paragraph 30.

[10] NSSM 200 – Policy Recommendations, II, "Action to Create Conditions for Fertility Decline: Population and a Development Assistance Strategy," A, "Overall Strategy and Resource Allocations for AID Assistance," "Discussion," 1, Paragraph Eleven.

[11] Former Secretary of State Hillary Clinton, at a March 20, 2010 news conference before the G8 summit Canada, quoted by Steven Ertelt, "Secretary of State Hillary Clinton Urges Canada to Promote Abortion at G8 Mtg," LifeNews.com, March 22, 2010.

[12] NSSM 200 – Special Analysis by the contributors, "A. Worldwide Abortion Practices."

[13] NSSM 200 – Special Analysis: Abortion, I.(A),(B), Recommendations, I.B., "Territorial Assistance Programs," and Country Conditions in India, Paragraph 3.

[14] NSSM 200 – Executive Summary, Paragraph 30.

[15] NSSM 200 – Policy Recommendations, II, "Action to Create Conditions for Fertility Decline: Population and a Development Assistance Strategy," A, "Overall Strategy and Resource Allocations for AID Assistance," "Discussion," 1, Par. Paragraph Eleven."

[16] NSSM 200 – Part Two, "Policy Recommendations," Chapter I, "Introduction – A U.S. Global Population Strategy," Part B, "Key Country priorities in U.S. and Multilateral Population Assistance."

[17] NSSM 200 – Chapter III, "Minerals and Fuel."

[18] NSSM 200 – Part Two, "Policy Recommendations," Chapter I, "Introduction – A U.S. Global Population Strategy," Part B, "Key Country priorities in U.S. and Multilateral Population Assistance."

[19] For documentation and statistics on the annual population control donations of each developed nation, e-mail Brian Clowes at hlcresearch@hli.org and ask for document# P-08-04, "Population Control Expenditures in the Regions and the Nations of the World, 1965-2020."

[20] NSSM 200 – Chapter V, "Implications of Population Pressures for National Security."

[21] "Policy Recommendations," 1, "Introduction – A U.S. Global Population Strategy," 2, "Instruments and Modalities for Population Assistance."

[22] This point was particularly important to the writers of NSSM 200. In a transatlantic letter, Robert B. Sayegusch, chairman of the National Security Council Under Secretaries Committee, wrote to the U.S. Agency for International Development, "It is of the utmost importance that we are viewed against the interests of the developing countries. Therefore, the proposed [document] stresses the development of the well-being and economic progress of the poorest countries. It may, however, be necessary to weigh conflicting U.S. interests in the case of particular countries" [Memorandum NSC-1158: 1 pp from the Chairman of the National Security Council Under Secretaries Committee (Robert B. Sayegusch) to President Ford, Washington, December 14, 1974].

[23] NSSM 200 – Executive Summary, Paragraph 30.

[24] NSSM 200 – Policy Recommendations, II, "Action to Create Conditions for Fertility Decline: Population and a Development Assistance Strategy," A, "Overall Strategy and Resource Allocations for AID Assistance," "Discussion," 1, Par. Paragraph Eleven."

Find Resources:

Free Guide!

We've compiled the responses to 10 of the most common pro-abortion myths into our free infographic Don't miss it!

First Name*

Last Name*

Email Address*

GET FREE GUIDE

[16] *NSSM-200*. Part Two, "Policy Recommendations." Section E, "Creating Conditions Conducive to Fertility Decline," paragraph 3.

[17] *NSSM-200*. Executive Summary, paragraph 35, and Part Two, "Policy Recommendations." Section F, "Development of World-Wide Political and Popular Commitment to Population Stabilization and Its Associated Improvement of Individual Quality of Life."

[18] *NSSM-200*. Part Two, "Policy Recommendations." Section E, "Creating Conditions Conducive to Fertility Decline," "An Alternative View."

[19] *NSSM-200*. Part Two, "Policy Recommendations." I. "Introduction – A U.S. Global Population Strategy," Section C, "Instruments and Modalities for Population Assistance."

[20] Steven W. Mosher. "Thinking Clear: Forced Abortions and Infanticide in Communist China." *Human Life Review*, Summer 1985, pages 7 to 34. Page 33, footnote 9.

[21] According to the United Nations Population Information Network, the population of the world was 7.19 billion on January 1, 2014, and will peak at 8.34 billion in 2050. The low variant is always used because it is by far the most historically accurate projection.

Did you find this useful?

Then you'll also appreciate having the answers to the 10 most common pro-abortion myths condensed into a free infographic for you. Sign up to get the facts!

First Name*

Last Name*

Email Address*

I WANT THE FACTS

Posted in Population Control, Resources

Leave a Comment

Comment

Name (required)

Email (will not be published) (required)

Submit Comment

HUMAN LIFE INTERNATIONAL

4 Family Life Lane
Front Royal, VA 22630

Phone: 1-800-549-5433
Email: hli@hli.org

© 2021 Human Life International